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Foreword

The analyses contained in this report are needed urgently. Policy makers and service providers, at national and local level, are largely flying blind in providing protection against transphobic bullying and crime, as well as meeting the healthcare and other needs of transgender people.

Recognising this information gap, the Home Office awarded a grant to the Gender Identity Research and Education Society (GIRES) to fund the development of reliable estimates of the size, growth and geographic distribution of this vulnerable community.

Fortunately, recent surveys and information from other sources have provided a rich mine of data for further analysis.
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Executive Summary

When transgender people reveal their gender variance, they are exposed to a risk of discrimination, bullying and hate crime. That risk increases sharply if they make themselves publicly visible by embarking on transition to a new gender role. Thereafter, they remain highly vulnerable. They also have greater need for social and medical care.

Some commissioners and providers of services for the transgender community use the 1998 survey of gender dysphoria, which was conducted in Scotland, to estimate the likely number of people to be cared for in their areas. Prevalence of people who had presented with gender dysphoria was then estimated to be 8 per 100,000 people aged over 16 and over. Other contemporary estimates were quite similar. However, subsequent rapid growth in the number of people who have presented for treatment in the UK requires an upward revision of the estimates based upon the earlier data.

Current prevalence may now be 20 per 100,000, i.e. 10,000 people, of whom 6,000 have undergone transition. 80% were assigned as boys at birth (now trans women) and 20% as girls (now trans men). However, there is good reason to anticipate that the gender balance may eventually become more equal.

Incidence was not measured in the Scottish survey. It may now be 3.0 per 100,000 people aged over 15 in the UK, that is 1,500 people per annum presenting for treatment of gender dysphoria.

The current growth rate in the number of people who are presenting is 15% per annum; hence the number is doubling every five years. Better social, medical and legislative provisions for transgender people, coupled with the “buddy effect” of snowballing mutual support among them, appear to be driving this growth.

Transgender people present for treatment at any age. The median age is 42.

The adults who present emerge from a large reservoir of transgender people, who experience some degree of gender variance. They may number 300,000, a prevalence of 600 per 100,000, of whom 80% were assigned as boys at birth. However, the number would be nearly 500,000, if the gender balance among transgender people is equal. Service providers and employers need to be aware of this large group who, whether or not they present for medical treatment, may still experience discrimination and be vulnerable to bullying and hate crime.

So far, only 10,000 adults have presented for treatment but a further 50,000, or even 90,000, may do so. Accordingly, the current growth in incidence may continue for a lengthy period, as more transgender people feel able or compelled to present to health professionals with gender dysphoria.

Few younger people present for treatment despite the fact that most gender dysphoric adults report experiencing gender variance from a very early age. Social pressure, in the family and at school, inhibit the early revelation of their gender variance. Only 84 children and adolescents are referred annually to
the UK’s sole specialised gender identity service, compared to 1,500 referred to the adult clinics. Nonetheless, medical services and schools should note that incidence among youngsters is also doubling every five years and has the potential to grow much more rapidly if gender variant people start presenting for treatment and undertaking transition while still young. This would have major capacity implications for the specialised service that cares for these young people. Moreover, even greater pressure would be placed on its endocrinology component if the approach to treatment there is brought into line with best international practice.

The growth in the incidence of revealed gender dysphoria among both young and adult people has major implications for commissioners and providers of public services. Regarding healthcare, surgery and life-long hormone therapy will be required for many of the rapidly increasing number of people, currently 1,200 per annum, who undertake transition to a new gender role, that is who are transsexual. The present annual requirement is 480 genital and gonadal surgeries for trans women (male to female) and 240 chest reconstructions for trans men (female to male), in addition to other gender confirming surgical procedures. However, other providers of public services and employers should also anticipate a rapidly increasing requirement to care for transsexual people and protect them.

Service providers should take account too of the need to support the families of transgender people, especially of those who undertake transition.

Policy makers need to be aware of the uneven geographic dispersion of people who have presented with gender dysphoria. Prevalence apparently ranges from a high of 45 per 100,000 aged 16 and over in Sussex to less than 10 some other areas. There is no general correlation between a high population density and a high incidence of gender dysphoria. Despite their high population densities, both the West Midlands and Merseyside appear to have low prevalence of gender dysphoria (9). It is possible that cultural factors, and the date at which the buddy effect begins, may be major determinants of these differences, Policy makers in areas where prevalence is low need to consider what should done to create a more supportive environment for transgender people. Where prevalence is high, there is a clear and present need to support and protect a significant number of gender dysphoric people in the community.

GIRES has highly detailed information on the dispersion and concentration of gender dysphoric people. It is not making this information generally available because it might expose individuals to risk. However, it is willing to work in total confidence with local policy makers to identify areas of special need.

The trends identified in this report and the gender balance should be closely monitored. That will require GIRES to work with the gender identity clinics and the Gender Recognition Panel. A model of the transgender population, based on the prototype prepared by GIRES, should be developed to facilitate forecasting. More detailed data should be obtained on the demand for specific surgical interventions and the capacity available to meet that need.
Introduction

Gender dysphoria describes the discomfort felt by people whose innate gender identity, the sense of being a boy/man or girl/woman, conflicts with their visible sex characteristics. The main influences on gender identity development appear to be neurobiological. Transsexualism is not a lifestyle choice. The term transsexual applies to people whose gender dysphoria is of such severity that they have to deal with it by transitioning, usually with medical assistance, to a gender role different from that assigned to them at birth. Transgender is a broader term that includes all those who experience some degree of gender variance, which, in most cases, requires no medical intervention.

A more comprehensive explanation of the terms used in the transgender field is contained in Appendix A.

The objectives of this report are to:

- Improve the evidence base about the likely extent and location of transphobic crime
- Inform the transgender community about the value of their participation in the previous surveys and increase their willingness to report hate crimes
- Improve the response to hate crime against transgender people within local police services
- Alert providers and commissioners of healthcare to the growing needs among transsexual people for specialised medical services

The client group that this report aims to help consists of transgender people, i.e. those who experience gender variance. Most of them do not undergo a full time and permanent transition to a new gender role. Those who do, transsexual men and women, are often visible and at great risk of transphobic bullying and hate crime. However, those who deal with their gender variance without transitioning are often also at risk of exposure, especially if they engage in cross-dressing.

In healthcare, the transgender people who undergo transition require specific medical support. Commissioners and providers of health services need to know how many people are likely to seek the many different types of medical care for gender dysphoria and transsexualism each year. Case law confirms that the NHS should provide treatments that include hormones and, where necessary, surgery. These are deemed to be valid and appropriate treatments for gender variant conditions. Clinical experience indicates that treatment outcomes are good.

Employers in both the public and the private sector need to be aware not only how frequently they will encounter the transsexual people in the workplace and among their customers, all of whom are protected by the law, but also how many other transgender people may be fearing discovery and perhaps
needing support. The Equal Opportunities Commission (now absorbed into the Equality and Human Rights Commission) recommended that public authorities should ensure that their policies and procedures cover transgender people as well as those who are transsexual. As the UK’s largest employer, the health service has to be especially mindful of its duties to its entire transsexual and transgender staff.

During the past two years, three surveys have been published, which provide a rich source of data relating to the transgender population in the UK:

- Engendered Penalties: Transgender and Transsexual People’s Experiences of Inequality and Discrimination; The Equalities Review (95 pages excluding questionnaire)
- Transgender EuroStudy: Legal Survey and Focus on the Transgender Experience of Healthcare; European Region of the International Lesbian and Gay Association (ILGA Europe) and Transgender Europe (75 pages excluding questionnaire)
- Survey of Patient Satisfaction with Transgender Services; NHS Audit, Information and Analysis Unit (AIAU) (48 pages, excluding questionnaire)

The “Engendered Penalties” report cited above stated that there had hitherto been little evidence-based research on the nature of inequality and discrimination experienced by trans people. Also, there is little published evidence of the size and growth of this population. Reporting of hate crime appears to understate substantially that based on transphobia. The police True Vision initiative to encourage the reporting of transphobic and other hate crime is in abeyance. Hence, policy makers have been unable to estimate reliably the likely extent of current and future transphobic bullying and crime within the population, its geographical variability or the quantum of medical treatment that transsexual people need.

Transgender people are difficult to count. Many do not reveal their gender variance to their GPs or seek any medical treatment. Even the number of transsexual people is somewhat uncertain, although most of them do need medical treatment. An unknown but possibly significant proportion of transsexual people obtain treatment outside the specialised NHS and private clinics. This may be via self medication, with hormones acquired via the internet, or surgery obtained overseas.

It would be difficult to quantify precisely all the different elements of such a diverse but relatively small group by means of a single sample taken from the general population. Not least among the practical problems would be defining those different elements in a way that was meaningful and acceptable to the trans people who might participate in such a survey. Trans people self define in various ways that are valid to themselves but not always to others. Hence the preparation of up to date estimates depends in large part on assembling and analysing the evidence currently available.

An attempt has been made in this report to estimate reasonably the size, growth and geographic dispersion of the trans community by using data from
a variety of sources. This has been derived from the above surveys, some as yet unpublished, as well from the NHS and private gender identity clinics. Other data has appeared in peer reviewed academic journals or been assembled by government departments. The thorough review, undertaken by the authors of this report, of what is available and careful cross-checking should have ensured that the estimates it contains are not prone to significant error.

Prevalence is the term for the number of people in a population who are known to be experiencing a condition at a given time. In the case of people experiencing gender dysphoria, that population is defined as those who have already revealed their condition to a health service professional. Obviously that does not include others who are experiencing gender dysphoria, even to an acute degree, but have not yet revealed it. Among that hidden population, some are self-medicating with hormones obtained via the internet.

Incidence is the term for the number who newly reveal the condition in any year. So long as incidence exceeds mortality among people who have experienced gender dysphoria, prevalence as defined above will increase.

The shapes of growth curves for prevalence vary greatly, depending on whether a condition is infectious (the great flu epidemic), contagious (HIV) or congenital (adrenal hyperplasia). In the case of a congenital condition, such as Klinefelter's Syndrome, that may often remain sub-clinical, measuring growth is dependent on observed cases. The characteristics of gender dysphoria are similar. It is only possible to determine the underlying prevalence within the general population by randomised sampling and testing. In the case of gender dysphoria, for which there is no infallible physical indicator, that is a difficult task, and understandably has not been attempted.

The data used in the present report indicates substantial geographical variations. Commissioners and providers of healthcare, police and other services have to accept that local figures for the prevalence and incidence of people who reveal their gender dysphoria may differ substantially from those calculated at the national level. Large differences seem unlikely to be due only to statistical variance between relatively small samples. Differences in local tolerance of, and provision for, transgender people seem to be of major significance, coupled, possibly, with the different dates at which the buddy effect begins to operate. These differences affect the willingness of transgender people to seek medical help and may cause them to move to centres that are known to be more welcoming, such as Brighton. As recounted in the report, very large regional variations were also discovered in Belgium and The Netherlands.

The medical needs of transsexual people, that is those who have transitioned or intend to do so, differ depending on whether they were deemed to be boys or girls at birth. Separate estimates are, therefore, needed for each group. Moreover, as described in the section on medical treatment, there is a wide range of possible gender confirming surgical interventions, all, some or none of which may be required by each individual person.
Gender dysphoric people, especially those who are transsexual, usually need specialised medical treatment to ease their condition. They and others within the broad transgender group should be cared for respectfully when they present for the treatment of some condition that is unrelated to their transgenderism. They may also need psychological support in dealing with the social stresses that transgenderism may cause. Additionally, in many of these cases, family members are affected by stress and relationship problems. So, they too may need help in dealing with their own difficulties and, in any event, may need guidance on how best to provide the support that is often vital to their transgender family members.

The NHS facilities are, sometimes, overloaded. Long waiting times encourage use of internet sources for medication, which may not be safe. The waiting times for the largest NHS Gender Identity Clinic, at Charing Cross Hospital, have fluctuated widely. In October 2006, 22% of its users had been waiting more than a year for an appointment and a further 24% for 6 to 12 months. The Clinic’s management took successful action to cut its waiting time. However, recent anecdotal evidence from service users, confirmed by the Clinic’s manager, indicates that, due to increasing demand, the waiting time is again lengthening for follow up appointments.

The private health sector plays an important role. It takes pressure off the NHS facilities and thereby improves the overall level of care for people seeking treatment for gender dysphoria.

**Taxonomy**

As stated above, the medical and other services that some transgender people need vary greatly between one individual and another. In preparing sound plans for those services, commissioners and providers require reliable estimates of the numbers, divided between trans women (male to female) and trans men (female to male) people, who:

- Have experienced some degree of gender variance
- Have revealed that gender variance to a health professional
- Have undertaken transition to a new gender role
- Have undergone gender confirmation surgery

The moment of greatest risk, of negative or even violent responses and discrimination, in the family, employment, education or the community, is the point of transition, which entails beginning to live full-time in a gender role that is different from that assigned at birth. However, even prior to then, the transitioning person is likely to have experienced the mounting stress associated with gender dysphoria. For those in medical care, transition is when the transgender person commences the real life experience of living full-time in the new gender role. The police and other support services need to be aware of the special protection that transsexual people require at this time and onwards, but mindful too of their earlier needs.

Regarding surgery, there is a wide range of procedures that transsexual people may undergo. They vary greatly in complexity and cost. Hence commissioners and providers of surgery would also find it helpful to know the
annual number of each procedure performed. However, these data are currently unavailable. Obtaining it would require separate research into NHS and private sector records. Nonetheless, the report contains some broad estimates of the requirement for surgery.

Methodology

Reliable current incidence data for gender dysphoria and transsexualism are obtained by adding the annual number of new cases referred to the NHS and private clinics. This includes gender variant children and adolescents.

The current prevalence of people who have transitioned is estimated using recent data from the Gender Recognition Panel and from the Transgender EuroStudy. The number of others who have presented for treatment of gender dysphoria but have not yet transitioned is estimated based on earlier data collected in Scotland.10

This Scottish study provides a helpful categorisation of service users according to the stage of treatment they had reached and the proportions who were assigned male or female at birth.

An historical record of growth has been derived from (a) a series of studies conducted by the UK government in 199511 and subsequently, (b) a Dutch survey published in 199612, and (c) recent data gathered in the Transgender Eurostudy and the AIAU survey. The current growth rates among adults and younger people have been obtained from some of the specialised clinics.

Supplementary estimates have been provided by clinicians who specialise in caring for gender variant people within both the NHS and the private sector.

Regarding the larger group of transgender people, among whom those who are transsexual constitute a small part, there are no robust data available for the UK. Overseas estimates of the prevalence of transgenderism, including that published by the American Psychological Association, have therefore been employed. Data from The Netherlands have been used to estimate how many transgender people may undergo transition. Although the reliability of such bases for estimating the prevalence of transgenderism and the likelihood of transition in the UK is questionable, it is adequate to indicate: (a) for the commissioners and providers of transgender healthcare, the substantial scope for further growth in the number of people who present for treatment, (b) for all types of service provider, the possible frequency with which they may encounter transgender people among their users and (c) for employers, the possible magnitude of this group within their respective workforces. In respect of healthcare, there is no requirement for specialised medical services for the great majority of transgender people and a precise estimate of the size of this population is not necessary.

Current Incidence of Severe Gender Dysphoria and Transsexualism

In 2008, GIRES obtained data on referrals during the previous 12 months from six of the major NHS clinics to which people seeking treatment for gender dysphoria are referred. This is not the complete picture within the
NHS. In the AIAU survey, 25% of the participants who answered the relevant question reported having attended 17 other NHS treatment centres, including the relatively large clinics in Leeds and Leicester, for which an estimate of referrals has therefore been included.\textsuperscript{13} Data was also provided by the largest private service, which is based in London. The AIAU report states that participants in its survey move “back and forth between the NHS and the private sector”. In fact, 26% of the respondents in that survey reported having obtained private treatment. Certainly, that would usually be essential for facial hair removal for trans women, which, controversially, the NHS only infrequently provides. Hence, in estimating the total number of referrals, an allowance must be made for double counting. The calculation that emerges from the foregoing data is as follows:

\textbf{Number of referrals in year to mid 2008}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Adult Treatment Centre} & \textbf{Number of Referrals} \\
\hline
Charing Cross & 775 \\
Edinburgh & 41 \\
Glasgow & 37 \\
Sheffield & 78 \\
Newton Abbott & 62 \\
Nottingham & 30 \\
17 Others (Est.) & 341\textsuperscript{14} \\
\hline
Total NHS & 1,364 \\
Private\textsuperscript{15} & 275 \\
\hline
Gross Total & 1,639 \\
Less Overlap (Est.) & (91)\textsuperscript{16} \\
\hline
Net Total & 1,548 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

Incidence of referrals to the specialist treatment centres per 100,000 people aged 16 and over is 3.0.\textsuperscript{17}

In addition to the above numbers of people being referred to specialist treatment centres, others with gender dysphoria are, as yet, in the care of their GP or a local psychiatrist. Furthermore, some people deal with their gender dysphoria by self medicating and do not reveal their condition to any healthcare professional.

At least 80% of the severely gender dysphoric people who are referred to a specialised NHS or private clinic undergo full transition.\textsuperscript{18} Hence the number currently expected to transition each year can be estimated as 1,200 (1,500 X .8)

\textbf{Prevalence of Transgenderism}

Transsexual people form a small part of the broader transgender group. The members of this broader group experience gender variance in a variety of ways, which they each may deal with differently. As explained in Appendix A, some may self identify as genderqueer. Some may cross-dress occasionally. Others may change gender role full time, but with little or no medical treatment. The great majority will wish to remain undetected. Service providers should expect to encounter members of this community quite
frequently, if often unknowingly, among their users and within their
workforces.
It is from this broad transgender group that transsexual people emerge. They
may present at any age.\(^{19}\) Among pre-pubertal children referred for treatment
of gender dysphoria, it is not currently possible to identify those in whom the
condition will remit.\(^{20}\) However, if they still experience gender dysphoria at
and after the onset of puberty, there is a very high rate of persistence of
gender dysphoria into adulthood.\(^{21}\) In puberty (aged 12 and over), they are at
a substantially increased risk of self-harm and overdose (23\%), compared to
younger children (under 12 years old) experiencing gender dysphoria, among
whom self-harm is rare (0\%).\(^{22}\) In the general British population, the
proportion of children aged 11 to 15 who are reported to have tried to harm,
hurt or kill themselves is substantially lower (only 6.7\%), although it is
somewhat higher (1.3 \%) among those aged 5 to 10.\(^{23}\)

There is no validated estimate of the population of transgender people in the
UK, that is those who experience some degree of gender variance, often
engage in cross-dressing, but have not undergone a full time and permanent
transition to a new gender role.

Data gathered in The Netherlands during the period 1994-1996 indicated that
the prevalence of cross-dressing in men was 1-5\%.\(^{24}\) In the USA, it is
estimated that 2\% to 5\% of males engage in frequent (private/club) cross-
dressing. Based on figures prepared by cross-dresser and activist groups, it
is estimated that the prevalence of strong transgender feelings in American
men is roughly 1\% to 2\%.\(^{25}\) The American Psychological Association reports
that “as many as 2-3\% of biological males engage in cross-dressing, at least
occasionally”\(^{26}\) In the UK, the Beaumont Society estimates that 1 in 10 men
have cross-dressed or will do so, which is out of line with the foregoing
figures.\(^{27}\)

A reasonable and conservative assumption may be that, in the UK, about 1\%
of men cross-dress, i.e. about 235,000.\(^{28}\)

The motivation for cross-dressing varies widely between individuals. In the
above Dutch study, 60 \% of the men who participated confirmed that it “allows
expression of my female side”, 52 \% that “it reinforces my female personality”,
32 \% that “I can live as a woman socially”. Only 21 \% confirmed that “I feel
I am in the wrong body” and 19 \% that they “wanted a complete role
adaptation”. It is from this latter group that transsexual people seem most
likely to emerge.

If 19 \% of them want a complete role adaptation, that would amount to 45,000
who are potentially trans women (.19 X 235,000).

We are not aware of any estimates of the percentage of women who
experience transgenderism. They are difficult to detect because society
generally accepts masculine dress and behaviour in women. If their number
were in the same proportion to those who are transsexual as it is for men, it
would be about 59,000, i.e. 0.24\% of women.\(^{29}\) We know nothing about their
motivation. However, if 19 \% of them want a complete role adaptation, there
would be 11,000 who are potentially trans men (.19 X 59,000).
Adding these two groups together (235,000 + 59,000) gives an estimate of about 300,000 transgender people, defined as those who cross-dress. Their prevalence is 600 per 100,000 aged over 15.\(^\text{30}\) Out of that number, 56,000 might potentially be transsexual people (45,000 + 11,000). All who cross dress are at risk of bullying and hate crime, especially if they venture outside the home in clothing of the opposite gender.

Obviously, the number of family members who are likely to be affected by the transgender issues of their close relatives is substantially higher.

We are not aware of any data on the incidence of transgenderism. Of course, there may be longer-term concerns about a possible increase in the size of the transgender community. Although it is possible to hypothesise that the incidence of transgenderism might be increasing, for instance as result of societal factors or the effect of environmental pollution on pre-natal gender identity development, this report does not pursue these possibilities.

**Prevalence of Severe Gender Dysphoria and Transsexualism**

A key requirement is to estimate the prevalence of people nationally who have experienced gender dysphoria that is sufficiently severe to have sought medical help.

The data for the UK generated by the gender recognition process and from the Transgender Eurostudy in 2007 enable estimation of the number of people who had already transitioned. The Gender Recognition Panel has stated that, at the date of the survey, 2,004 people had received Gender Recognition Certificates. According to unpublished data gathered in the Transgender EuroStudy, only 34% of the respondents who had transitioned had received a new birth certificate. Scaling up by that ratio produces an estimate of some 5,894 people who had undergone transition (2,004/.34).

Data from the Scottish survey conducted in 1998 indicated that 60% of the people who had presented with gender dysphoria had undergone transition. This would indicate that, in 2007, close to 10,000 people had presented (5,894/.6 = 9,824). That represents prevalence figures per 100,000 in the UK population aged 15 and over of 20.\(^\text{31}\)

In the above section on transgenderism, it is estimated that 56,000 people may present for medical treatment of gender dysphoria at some stage in their life-times. Of that number, so far, only 10,000 have presented, leaving 46,000 who have yet to do so. How likely it is that they will and when they might do so are unknown.

**Growth**

A series of reasonably reliable estimates of the prevalence of people who have presented with gender dysphoria and the proportion of them who have transitioned have been made at different times since 1995.
In 1995, the Department of Social Security estimated that there were about 2,500 assigned as boys and 500 assigned as girls whose records had already been amended to show a change of name or title by reason of the person adopting the role of the opposite sex, i.e. who had transitioned. If this group, as shown in the later Scottish survey, constituted 60% of those with gender dysphoria, the total numbers who had presented with this condition would have been about 4,200 assigned as boys (2,500/0.6) and about 800 assigned as girls (500/0.6), i.e. an overall total of 5,000.

Data were obtained in The Netherlands in 1996 and Scotland in 1998.

The percentages of the Scottish group at different stages of treatment were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male to Female</th>
<th>Female to Male</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With gender dysphoria but not in treatment</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With gender dysphoria in psychological/counselling treatment only</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking sex hormone therapy but pre-operative</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-operative</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Scottish study was based on data collected for 273 people who had presented to a GP with gender dysphoria, of whom 55 (20%) had been assigned as girls at birth.

These two surveys provided somewhat similar estimates of prevalence. In relation to the total Dutch population, 1 in 11,900 people assigned as boys at birth and 1 in 30,400 assigned as girls experienced gender dysphoria. The Scottish figures were for people aged 16 and over. However, adjusted in relation to the total population, they were 1 in 9,160 assigned as boys and 1 in 38,350 assigned as girls.

Extrapolating the Scottish figures to the whole of the UK, results in estimates that, in 1998, there were 3,170 people aged over 15 assigned as boys and 790 assigned as girls, an overall total of 3,960, who had presented with gender dysphoria. Using the Dutch figures results in 2,440 assigned as boys and 1,000 assigned as girls, a total of 3,440.

In 2003, the Inland Revenue and Department for Work and Pensions had around 4,000 cases marked as 'nationally sensitive' because the individual had stated that he or she was transsexual. The total number who had presented for treatment could be estimated as 6,667 (4,000/0.6). Figures from the Passport Agency and DVLA suggested, at that time, that the population was close to 5,000. However, the latter figure, which already included a prediction of those who were expected to seek help in the future, seems rather low.

The foregoing figures, including those previously estimated for 2007, indicate substantial growth since 1995 in the number of people who have presented with gender dysphoria.
Data from other sources also indicate that the number of people who will need some form of medical help for gender dysphoria is growing rapidly. The survey conducted by ILGA Europe showed that the historic growth trend of the incidence of people commencing transition in the UK is 14 % per annum compound. This is the same as the rate in the rest of Europe.

In addition, the calculations earlier in the report of an incidence of 3.0, compared to a prevalence of 20 per 100,000 indicate a nearly similar 15 % per annum growth rate (3.0/20.0).

Referrals to some of the gender identity clinics also indicate rapid growth. The gender identity clinics in London, Newton Abbott, Sheffield and Glasgow received a total of 952 referrals in the year to mid 2008, an increase of 49% above the previous year’s figure. The London clinic, at Charing Cross Hospital accounted for 775 of that total. Three years earlier, it received about 300 referrals.

Relatively few transsexual people have, as yet, emerged from the large reservoir of transgender people. Unpublished data from the Transgender Eurostudy indicates that the median age at which trans people first visited their GP to discuss their gender dysphoria has been rising and is currently 42. If the reservoir of people likely to transition were nearing exhaustion, that age should be diminishing.
The reasons that more gender dysphoric people are now choosing to identify themselves to providers of medical services probably include:

- greater general knowledge of transsexualism and its medical treatment as a result of publicity in the media and the dissemination of information via the internet
- increased provision for treatment within the NHS
- the “buddy effect”; help-lines, and local support groups and web-based discussion facilities enable people experiencing gender dysphoria to meet and gain confidence
- new legislation to protect transsexual people, especially in the workplace
- somewhat more respectful press coverage

The current growth rate in the number of known gender dysphoric people greatly exceeds that of the population as a whole, which is increasing at about 0.5% per annum. Also, the number of new referrals is currently far greater than the possible diminution through mortality within the existing group who have experienced or are still experiencing gender dysphoria of sufficient severity to have sought medical help. Their age profile mirrors that of the general population and the great majority (92%) are aged 60 or less. Mortality in the general population is running at less than 1% per annum. It may be higher in the transgender population, where suicidality is a significant risk, with 35% reporting having made at least one suicide attempt. However, we are not aware of any data that indicate high mortality among severely gender dysphoric people from successful suicide attempts.
Commissioners and providers of healthcare and other services should reconsider the basis upon which they are estimating the likely need to treat people with gender dysphoria. For instance, when the Thames Valley PCTs and the Healthcare Commission Wales reviewed their policy on funding treatment for gender dysphoria, respectively in 2006 and 2009, they referred only to the out of date figures generated from the Dutch survey (1996) and that in Scotland (1998).

The only safe assumption for commissioners and providers is that the present growth rate in the incidence of new people requiring medical and other care is likely to continue, which is usually the basis on which service provision is planned. At a growth rate of 15% per annum compound, the number of new cases will approximately double every 5 years.

**Gender Balance**

Regarding the gender balance among those who have presented with gender dysphoria, the proportion originally assigned as girls in the 1998 Scottish survey constituted 20% of the total. The Engendered Penalties survey in 2006 found that 23% of the trans people surveyed had been assigned as girls.\(^47\) In 2007, the Transgender EuroStudy found that, in the UK, 22% had been assigned as girls.\(^48\).

It should be noted that in the rest of Europe, only 50% of people who have transitioned in the last five years were assigned as boys at birth.\(^49\) Also, at the Gender Identity Clinic in Glasgow, the proportion of its patients assigned as girls at birth is now 37%.\(^50\) Consequently, it seems worthwhile to consider the likelihood that the gender balance in the UK will become more like that in the rest of Europe.

It is possible that, in the UK, the publicity that surrounded the pioneering trans women, Roberta Cowell (1951), April Ashley (1962) and Jan Morris (1974), encouraged other people assigned as boys at birth to follow the same path. During that era, there were no similarly famous trans men to whom gender dysphoric people assigned as girls at birth could look as role models. Hence, the emergence of trans men presenting for treatment may have lagged the emergence of trans women.

If 50% of the transgender population in the UK were assigned as girls at birth, they would number 248,000, rather than the 59,000 calculated above.\(^51\) The total transgender population would be 483,000 (248,000 + 235,000). The number of transgender people who might go on to transition would then be 90,000 (483,000 x .19).

The annual growth rate in the numbers of trans women and trans men who are seeking treatment for gender dysphoria is similar. If 50% of transgender people were assigned as girls at birth the gender balance among those who have transitioned is likely to become progressively more equal.
Year in which respondents revealed gender variance to GP
(N = 647)

Children and Adolescents

As in the adult clinics, the number of referrals to the UK’s single specialised service for gender variant children and adolescents has been doubling every five years.52 Similarly, the recent growth has been even more rapid. The service received 84 referrals in the year to March 2009, compared to 64 to 2008 and 50 to 2007, a growth of 68 % in just two years.53

However, the growth rate for youngsters may continue to accelerate. Transsexual people typically report having experienced gender variance since early childhood. Social pressures, especially within the family and at school, currently prevent all but a few from revealing their gender variance and being referred for medical care, especially in adolescence54. Those who do amount to only 6 % of the number of adults who are finally revealing their gender dysphoria (84/1,500).

Physical intervention in early puberty would relieve stress and prevent the development of unwanted secondary sex characteristic, which later require costly and painful correction, such as chest reconstruction in trans men and removal of facial hair in trans women.55 It seems cost-effective to identify transsexual people early and offer them treatment, as in many leading overseas centres.56 Early intervention is allowed and even recommended by the international authorities in this field.57,58
That treatment is not offered in the UK. A few British families are now obtaining treatment overseas to suspend their children’s puberty at an early stage. The Children’s Hospital Boston has seen four such cases. One of the clinics in Thailand states that it has a number of patients, from a variety of western countries, who underwent male to female sex reassignment surgery at age 16.

Already, coping with the doubling of new referrals every five years presents a major challenge for the existing single service centre. If an increasing proportion of the gender variant youngsters who are currently not presenting for treatment do so, that growth rate would accelerate substantially. That would obviously place pressure on the mental health element of the present service. However, there would be even greater pressure on the endocrinology service if it adopted the treatment approach followed overseas and authoritatively recommended. It would then begin treating adolescents at the start rather than on completion of puberty and thereby provide care that is now largely deferred until the adult services take on responsibility for these patients. It should be recognised that the surgical interventions required by transsexual adults to reverse the unwanted and distressing physical changes wrought by puberty would be much less if pubertal development were suspended by early endocrine treatment. Early treatment may actually reduce the burden on medical services.

Transphobic Bullying and Hate Crime

The Engendered Penalties report contains data on the transphobic bullying experienced at school by the adults who participated in the survey. That research endeavoured to be as inclusive as possible of all categories of transgender people. About 40% of them had experienced verbal abuse, 30% threatening behaviour, 25% physical abuse and 4% sexual abuse. About 25% had been bullied by their teachers. However, the bullying reported by gay schoolchildren is even greater: 70% verbal, 29% physical and 10% sexual. This accords with the evidence that most trans people delay revealing their gender dysphoria until adulthood.

In public spaces, many of these adult transgender people experienced harassment that appears criminal in nature: verbal abuse, 19%; threatening behaviour, 10%; physical abuse, 5% and sexual abuse, 2%.

Medical Treatment for Adults

The forgoing prevalence estimates indicate that:

- 300,000 to 500,000 people have experienced some degree of gender variance
- 60,000 to 90,000 of whom desire a complete role adaptation
- 10,000 of whom have revealed their gender variance to a health professional
- 6,000 of whom have undertaken transition to a new gender role
A high degree of stress accompanies gender variance, with 34% of transgender adults reporting at least one suicide attempt.\textsuperscript{65} Yet, the AIAU data indicates that only 10% of the people who seek professional medical help obtain counselling outside the typical pathway to treatment: GP -> local psychiatrist -> specialised clinic.\textsuperscript{66} Even among those who follow this pathway, more than half are offered no counselling at all.

Counselling for family members of both transgender and transsexual people of all ages may also be required. However, it is not provided by the gender identity clinics.

Hormone therapy is usually an essential element of treatment for those who undertake transition and a lifelong requirement for the 6,000 people who have done so.

Surgical interventions, for those who have received them, are effective in relieving the stress that profound and persistent gender dysphoria causes.\textsuperscript{67,68} They may include:

**a - MtF (Trans Woman):**
- i - orchidectomy - removal of testicles
- ii - penectomy - removal of penis
- iii - vaginoplasty - creation of vagina
- iv - clitoroplasty - creation of clitoris
- v - labioplasty – creation of labia
- vi - hair removal – donor site
- vii - mammoplasty - breast enlargement
- viii - thyroid chondroplasty- reduction of Adam's apple
- ix - facial feminising - especially reshaping the nose and chin
- x - body reshaping
- xi - cricothyroid approximation and other vocal surgery - raising the pitch of the voice
- xii - hair removal – facial and body
- xiii – hair transplant – to mitigate male pattern baldness

**b - FtM (Trans Man):**
- i - mastectomy - removal of breasts and chest reconstruction
- ii - hysterectomy - removal of uterus
- iii - vaginectomy - removal of vagina
- iv - salpingo-oopherectomy - removal of fallopian tubes and ovaries
- v - metoidioplasty - creation of micro-penis, using the clitoris
- vi - phalloplasty - creation of penis, with or without urethra
- vii - urethroplasty - creation of urethra within the penis
- viii - scrotoplasty - creation of scrotum
- ix - placement of testicular prostheses
- x - penile prosthesis – implant, making erection possible
- xi - hair removal: donor site

Among NHS commissioners, what is meant by the term gender reassignment (or gender confirmation) surgery appears to include procedures i to vi for trans women and i to ix for trans men.\textsuperscript{69} However, the remaining procedures may also be considered to be important and necessary to confirm gender.
It appears that 3,500 transsexual people may already have undergone gender reassignment surgery. 70

The key factor in respect of surgery, for commissioners and providers of healthcare, is the annual number of procedures, rather than the number that have already been carried out.
Facial hair removal helps a trans woman live successfully in the new gender role.71 For most of them, this treatment is essential, albeit expensive. 72 That implies 960 new cases per annum. 73 This treatment is rarely funded by the NHS.

Regarding other gender confirmation surgery, the need varies greatly from one individual to another.

Funding restrictions within the NHS have resulted in its carrying out a disproportionately low number of gender confirmation surgeries, only 30% of the total in 1998.74 Currently, the NHS clinics receive 83% of new referrals (1,364/1,639, ignoring overlap).

Among trans women, 50% of those who undergo transition in the private sector receive genital and gonadal surgery.75 If this same proportion were applied to the total who annually undergo male to female transition, in both the NHS and the private sector, there would be an annual requirement for 480 such procedures.76 In the financial year 2004/5, the NHS reported only 99 such procedures.77 Apparently, the NHS provision of such surgery for trans women should be increased substantially to meet the current requirement. Relatively few of them appear able to afford the cost of private surgery.

The majority of trans men require chest reconstruction, implying up to 240 procedures per annum to be performed in the NHS and the private sector.78 It is not known how many are provided within the NHS.

**Geographic Distribution**

This report has provided the police service, nationally, with guidance on the size and growth of the transsexual community and the likely scale of the transgender population. Estimates have been included of their possible experience of hate crime. To assist in the allocation of resources, the police at local level also need information on the geographic dispersion of transsexual people. They are often at great risk because transition has made them highly visible. This information would also provide a basis for questioning any available statistics generated by existing systems for recording hate crime.

The data gathered in the Engendered Penalties and AIAU surveys include post code information. After eliminating the duplications between these two sets of data, 1,196 records were available for further analysis. It was possible to identify in which police authority each respondent lived at the time of the surveys. In 2004, the Office for National Statistics calculated the population, as well as the geographic size, of each area. This data enabled an estimation of the implied prevalence of people who have presented with gender dysphoria in each area, as shown in Appendix B.
There is substantial variability in the implied prevalence. It appears highest in Sussex and Nottinghamshire, for which the prevalence per 100,000 aged 16 and over appears to be, respectively, 45 and 43, compared to the national average of 20. Brighton, in Sussex, is known as a favourable environment for transgender people. But, the reasons for the high number in Nottinghamshire are impossible to determine at this stage.

The implied prevalence in a number of the police areas is apparently well below the national average, notably in the City of London (0), Fife (3) and Dumfries and Galloway (7). The figures for West Midlands and Merseyside, 9 in each case, also appear low. The average in the Scottish police areas, weighted by size of population is 16, rather below the national average. This might indicate that the prevalence calculated for the whole of the UK in 1998, based on the Scottish survey, was, perhaps, underestimated.

The authors tested the hypothesis that implied prevalence might be correlated with population density, based on the assumption that more urban areas might be more tolerant of transgender people. The data tell a different story. Despite their high population densities, both the West Midlands and Merseyside appear to have low prevalence of gender dysphoria (9). In fact, implied prevalence seems to decline with increased population density as show in the chart below.

Comparison of implied prevalence and population density
(N = 1,196)

Substantial regional variations in prevalence have been recorded in other countries. For instance, in a Dutch survey conducted in 1996, prevalence in Amsterdam was nearly four times greater than in the non-urban areas of The Netherlands. In a Belgian survey conducted in 2003, prevalence in Brussels was nearly four times greater than in the Wallonia region. The Belgian researchers remarked that transsexualism appears socially less acceptable in Wallonia.
It appears that cultural factors, and the date at which the buddy effect begins, may be major determinants of these differences. Cultural hostility to transgender people, and lack of local peer support, will affect their willingness to seek medical help or cause them to move to centres that are known to be more welcoming. Policy makers in areas where prevalence is low need to consider what should be done to create a more supportive environment for transgender people. Where prevalence is high, there is a clear and present need to support and protect a significant number of gender dysphoric people in the community.

GIRES has highly detailed information on the dispersion and concentration of gender dysphoric people. It is not making this information generally available because it might expose individuals to risk. However, it is willing to work in total confidence with local policy makers to identify areas of special need.

Generally, policy makers at local level should assume that the number of gender dysphoric people needing medical care and protection against hate crime is doubling every 5 years and look for ways to deal with the cultural factors that may have hitherto held people back from revealing the condition.

**Proposed Further Work**

The estimates made in this report are reasonably reliable with regard to the present prevalence, incidence, growth and geographic dispersion of gender variance in the UK. Obviously, there is uncertainty as to whether or not recent trends will continue. Policy makers have to assume that they will. However, the mounting requirement for services has serious implications for resources, especially for specialised adult surgery and adolescent endocrinology. Therefore the growth trends and gender balance among people presenting with gender dysphoria should be closely monitored, especially by the National and regional Specialised Commissioning Groups within the NHS. The Gender Recognition Panel is now gathering valuable data on the people applying for Gender Recognition Certificates. However, these applications lag the data on people presenting for treatment.

Recording new referrals will require cooperation from the gender identity clinics. The London clinic has already provided information for the year to March 2009, when it received 830 referrals, an increase of 7% compared to the previous year.

To facilitate the analysis and interpretation of the data, and serve as a basis for forecasting, a mathematical model of the transgender population should be prepared. GIRES has already developed a prototype, which might be used for this purpose.

Commissioners of healthcare may wish to see the geographic dispersion of people who have presented for treatment, because, in many cases, they need life-long hormone treatment.

Providers and commissioners of healthcare need detailed data on the demand for the specific surgical procedure that transsexual people undergo. This should be generated from Department of Health records. Recording the
data usefully may require a modification of existing definitions and procedures. The growth in demand seems likely to have implications for surgical capacity.
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GLOSSARY of TERMS

Terminology in the ‘transgender’ field is varied and constantly shifting as our understanding and perceptions of gender variant conditions changes. In addition, in writing such a glossary of terms there is a risk of merely creating stereotypes. The concept of a ‘normal’ gender expression associated with a binary man/woman paradigm is highly questionable. Any indication that those who do not conform to the stereotypes are ‘abnormal’ is incorrect and insulting. Research indicates that atypical gender identity development and a mismatch between body and brain is a result of the natural variability in neurobiological development. Although it is understood that non-trans people who work in the transgender field need a meaningful vocabulary, they should accept that, in communicating with transgender people, the respectful approach is to allow them to self-identify, using whatever terms they choose.

Gender identity

*Gender identity* describes the psychological identification of oneself as a boy/man or as a girl/woman. Although there is a presumption that this sense of identity will evolve along binary lines and be consistent with the sex appearance, it does not do so in all people.

Sex

*Sex* refers to the male/female biological development – the phenotype. In an infant, the sex is judged entirely on the genital appearance at birth. Other phenotypic factors such as karyotype (chromosomal configuration) are seldom tested unless a genital anomaly is present. There is a presumption that an apparently male infant will identify as a boy, and vice versa.

Gender role

The *gender role* is the social role – the interaction with others which both gives expression to the inner gender identity and reinforces it. Despite the greater gender equality in modern Western culture in terms of the subjects studied in school and at university; the choice of friends; work and domestic arrangements; dress and leisure pursuits, there is still a presumption of conformity with society’s ‘rules’ about what is appropriate for a man or a woman, a boy or a girl, especially in terms of appearance. Too great a transgression often causes anxiety and discomfort in those who witness it.

Gender variance/ gender dysphoria / gender identity disorder
It is now understood that the innate gender identity, although powerfully influenced by the sex of the genitalia and the gender of rearing, is not determined by these factors. There is evidence that sex differentiation of the brain may be inconsistent with other sex characteristics, resulting in individuals dressing and/or behaving in a way which is perceived by others as being outside cultural gender norms; these unusual gender expressions may be described as *gender variance*. Where conforming with these norms causes a persistent personal discomfort, this may be diagnosed as *gender dysphoria*. In many, this includes some level of disgust with the phenotype, since this contradicts the inner sense of gender identity. Gender dysphoria is not a popular term with those experiencing the condition since it has become associated with the DSM-IV ‘clinical diagnosis’ *gender identity disorder*; both these descriptions imply a diagnosis of ‘pathology’ and mental illness, whereas the more neutral term, *gender variance* denotes that these departures from stereotypical gender experience and expression are part of a natural, albeit unusual, human development.

**Transsexualism**

When gender variance is experienced to the degree that medical intervention is necessary to facilitate a permanent transition to a gender role that accords with the gender identity thus alleviating the intense discomfort, it may be regarded as transsexualism. In the UK, legal protections are already accorded to those described as intending to undergo, undergoing or having undergone permanent gender reassignment, under medical care. The requirement for medical care may be removed by the proposed Equality Act. New legal gender status may be accorded to those who have completed the transition process and intend to live out their lives in the new gender role.

**Gender confirmation treatment**

Those transitioning permanently usually have gender confirmation treatment that includes hormone therapy and often surgery to bring the sex characteristics of the body more in line with the gender identity. Such surgery is sometimes referred to as gender reassignment surgery.

**Transgender**

Transgenderism has had different meanings over time, and in different societies. Currently, it is used as an inclusive term describing all those whose gender expression falls outside the typical gender norms; for example, those who cross-dress intermittently for a variety of reasons including erotic factors (transvestism), as well as those who live continuously outside gender norms, sometimes with, and sometimes without, medical intervention. There is a growing acknowledgement that although there is a great deal of difference between say, a drag artist and a transsexual person, there are nonetheless areas in the transgender field where the distinctions are more blurred; for example, a person who cross dresses intermittently for some years, may later transition fully to the opposite role.
Trans men and trans women

The expression ‘trans’ is often used synonymously with ‘transgender’ in its broadest sense. Sometimes its use is specific; for instance, those born with female phenotype but identifying as men may be referred to as ‘trans men’; and those born with male phenotype but identifying as women may be referred to as ‘trans women’. Where trans people have transitioned permanently, many prefer to be regarded as ordinary men and women.

Genderqueer

Genderqueer can be used to describe and self-define someone who does not fit and/or actively rejects the binary gender system of "man/woman", "male/female", and can encompass third gender/non-binary identities.

Sexual orientation

Sexual orientation is a separate issue from gender identity. Trans people may be gay, straight, bisexual or, occasionally, asexual. Their sexual relationships may remain the same through the transition process, or they may change.
APPENDIX B

PREVALENCE OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE PRESENTED WITH GENDER DYSPHORIA
BY POLICE AREA
(Sample Size 1,196)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number in Survey</th>
<th>Police Force</th>
<th>Population Density (per sq. mile)</th>
<th>Implied Prevalence (per 100,000: 16 and over)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Sussex</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Nottinghamshire</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Surrey</td>
<td>1660</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Gloucestershire</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Lancashire</td>
<td>1211</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Warwickshire</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Hertfordshire</td>
<td>1648</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Lothian and Borders</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>West Mercia</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Cambridgeshire</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Hampshire</td>
<td>1127</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Northamptonshire</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Devon and Cornwall</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Staffordshire</td>
<td>1004</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>Metropolitan</td>
<td>12388</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>North Wales</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>North Yorkshire</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>South Wales</td>
<td>1522</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Dyfed Powys</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Norfolk</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Essex</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Suffolk</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Greater Manchester</td>
<td>5171</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Kent</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Cheshire</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Avon and Somerset</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Lincolnshire</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Wiltshire</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Votes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Thames Valley</td>
<td>959</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Leicestershire</td>
<td>963</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Derbyshire</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Northumbria</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Dorset</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Strathclyde</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Bedfordshire</td>
<td>1213</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Humberside</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Grampian</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>South Yorkshire</td>
<td>2141</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Tayside</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cumbria</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>West Yorkshire</td>
<td>2699</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Gwent</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cleveland</td>
<td>2406</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>West Midlands</td>
<td>7433</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Merseyside</td>
<td>5507</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Durham</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Northern Ireland</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dumfries and Galloway</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fife</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>City of London</td>
<td>8605</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>